



1 14 February 2024
2 EMA/CVMP/VICH/525/2000
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 **VICH GL22(R) Studies to evaluate the safety of**
5 **residues of veterinary drugs in human food:**
6 **reproduction testing (Revision 1)**
7 **Draft**

Draft agreed by VICH Steering Committee	January 2024
Adoption by CVMP for release for consultation	14 February 2024
Start of public consultation	15 February 2024
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 July 2024

8
9

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu

10
11





VICH GL22(R) (SAFETY: REPRODUCTION)

January 2024

Revision at Step 9

For consultation at Step 4

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

STUDIES TO EVALUATE THE SAFETY OF RESIDUES OF VETERINARY DRUGS IN HUMAN FOOD: REPRODUCTION TESTING (REVISION 1)

Revision at Step 9

Recommended for Consultation at Step 4 of the VICH Process

in January 2024

by the VICH Steering Committee

This Guideline has been developed by the appropriate VICH Expert Working Group and is subject to consultation by the parties, in accordance with the VICH Process. At Step 7 of the Process the final draft will be recommended for adoption to the regulatory bodies of the European Union, Japan and USA.

55 **STUDIES TO EVALUATE THE SAFETY OF RESIDUES OF**
56 **VETERINARY DRUGS IN HUMAN FOOD: REPRODUCTION TESTING**
57

58

59 **1. INTRODUCTION 4**
60 1.1. *Objective of the guideline* 4
61 1.2. *Background* 4
62 1.3. *Scope of the guideline* 4
63 1.4. *General principles* 5
64 **2. GUIDELINE 5**
65 2.1. *Test species* 5
66 2.2. *Number of generations* 5
67 2.3. *Number of litters per generation* 6
68 2.4. *Recommended study protocol* 6
69 **3. REFERENCES 7**

70

71 1. INTRODUCTION

72 1.1. Objective of the guideline

73 In order to establish the safety of veterinary drug residues in human food, a number of toxicological
74 evaluations are required, including the assessment of any effects on reproduction. The objective of
75 this guideline is to ensure international harmonisation of reproduction testing that is appropriate for
76 the evaluation of effects on reproduction from long-term, low-dose exposures; these effects may
77 be encountered from the presence of veterinary drug residues in food.

78 1.2. Background

79 There was a considerable overlap in the reproduction and developmental toxicity testing
80 requirements of the EU, Japan and the USA, for establishing the safety of veterinary drug residues
81 in human food. Although each region differed in some aspects of detail, all required a
82 multigeneration study in at least one rodent species, dosing beginning with the parental (P) group
83 and continuing through at least two subsequent (F₁ and F₂) generations. All three regions also
84 required developmental toxicity (teratogenicity) studies. Developmental toxicity studies are the
85 subject of a separate guideline (see VICH GL32) and will not be further addressed in this guideline,
86 except to note that it is no longer recommended that a developmental toxicity phase be included
87 as part of a reproduction toxicity study.
88

89 The VICH approach to reproduction and developmental toxicity testing of veterinary drug residues
90 differs in some respects from that adopted by the International Conference on Harmonisation of
91 Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH).¹ The ICH
92 guideline advocates a combination of three studies, in which dosing extends for shorter periods to
93 cover adult fertility and early embryonic development, embryo-fetal development, and pre- and
94 postnatal development. While such an approach is considered appropriate for most human
95 medicines, exposure to veterinary drug residues in human food may be long-term, including lifetime
96 exposure. For long-term, low-dose exposure, a reproduction toxicity study, in which dosing extends
97 through more than one generation is considered more appropriate. This guideline provides
98 harmonised guidance on the core requirement for a multigeneration study including extended one-
99 generation reproductive toxicity study (EOGRTS) for the safety evaluation of veterinary drug
100 residues in human food.

101
102 This guideline is one of a series of guidelines developed to facilitate the mutual acceptance, by the
103 relevant regulatory authorities, of safety data necessary for the determination of Acceptable Daily
104 Intakes (ADIs) for veterinary drug residues in human food. This guideline should be read in
105 conjunction with the guideline on the overall strategy for the safety evaluation of veterinary residues
106 in human food (see VICH GL33). It was developed after consideration of the existing ICH guideline
107 for pharmaceuticals for human use on “Detection of Developmental and Reproductive Toxicity for
108 Human Pharmaceuticals”¹ and the European Chemicals Agency publication on “Evaluating results
109 from 55 extended one-generation reproductive toxicity studies under REACH: final report of the
110 EOGRTS review project”,² in conjunction with the current practices for evaluating veterinary drug
111 residues in human food in the EU, Japan, the USA, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the UK.

112 1.3. Scope of the guideline

113 This document provides guidance on the core requirement for a multigeneration study including
114 EOGRTS for those veterinary drugs that leave residues in human food. However, it does not seek
115 to limit the studies that may be performed to establish the safety of veterinary drug residues in
116 human food with respect to reproductive function. Neither does it preclude the possibility of
117 alternative approaches that may offer an equivalent assurance of safety, including scientifically-
118 based reasons as to why such data may not need to be provided. This guideline is not intended to
119 cover the information that may be required to establish the safety of veterinary drug residues with
120 respect to reproduction in the target species.

121 **1.4. General principles**

122 The aim of a multigeneration reproduction toxicity study including EOGRTS is to detect any effects
123 of veterinary drug residues (i.e., the drug substance and/or its metabolites) on mammalian
124 reproduction. These include effects on male and female fertility, mating, conception, implantation,
125 ability to maintain pregnancy to term, parturition, lactation, survival, growth and development of the
126 offspring from birth through to weaning, sexual maturation and the subsequent reproductive
127 function of the offspring as adults. While the reproduction studies are not specifically designed to
128 detect developmental abnormalities because malformed offspring may be destroyed by the dams
129 at birth, such studies may provide an indication of developmental toxicity if litter size at birth, birth
130 weight or survival in the first few days after birth are reduced.

131
132 Reproduction testing intends to detect not only any effects on adult reproduction, but also on
133 subsequent generations due to exposure *in utero* and early postnatally. Critical aspects of
134 development, which affect adult reproductive capacity, take place prenatally and early postnatally.
135 Effects on reproductive tract development and function in males and females following exposure to
136 sex hormones and their analogues during this critical period are well known. Studies of other
137 chemicals with endocrine disrupting potential have illustrated the critical role of exposure during
138 the early developmental period on subsequent reproductive function in adult life. This can result in
139 much greater effects on the reproductive capacity of subsequent generations compared with the
140 original parental generation. Studies of more than one generation may also allow detection of
141 reproductive effects due to bioaccumulation of the test substance. Interference with the developing
142 reproductive tract or bioaccumulation may manifest themselves via increasing degree or severity
143 of effects in successive generations.

144
145 The design of the study should be able to detect any effects on reproduction, the dose(s) at which
146 they occur and the dose(s) giving rise to no adverse effects. The highest dose level should be
147 chosen with the aim to induce toxicity but not death or severe suffering.^{3,4}

148 **2. GUIDELINE**

149 **2.1. Test species**

150 A multigeneration test including EOGRTS in one animal species is normally sufficient. In practice,
151 these studies for all classes of chemicals have been conducted in the rat, which will continue to be
152 the species of choice for most studies. Provided strains with good fecundity are used, rats generally
153 give more consistent reproductive performance than mice. There is also a much larger historical
154 database available for rats. Reference can also be made, if necessary, to the results of other kinetic,
155 metabolic and toxicity tests on rats within the overall test battery for the test substance.

156
157 The rat is the preferred species for testing. If other species (such as mouse) are used, justification
158 should be given. For example, studies on test substances originally used for other purposes but
159 later proposed for veterinary use have sometimes been conducted in mice. Also, there may be
160 scientific reason to conduct a study in other species, such as when the mouse is a more appropriate
161 model due to metabolism in common with the target animal species or similar metabolites formed
162 as those predicted in humans.

163 **2.2. Number of generations**

164 Studies in one generation have been the normal testing requirement for pharmaceuticals for human
165 use, where the main concerns are exposure during short-term dosing periods. However,
166 multigeneration studies of two or three generations have long been the usual requirement for food
167 additives and food contaminants, such as pesticides and veterinary drug residues. One-generation
168 studies, in which treatment is terminated when the first generation of offspring is weaned, do not
169 permit assessment of the reproductive performance of animals that have been exposed to the test
170 substance from the prenatal to pubertal period. A multigeneration reproduction toxicity study
171 including EOGRTS is therefore considered necessary for this assessment and to evaluate the
172 reproductive effects of long-term exposures (see Section 1.4.).

173
174 A study of more than one generation will also allow confirmation of any effects in the first generation,
175 clarify equivocal effects at any stage in the test, or give an indication of effects that are not observed
176 in the first generation.

177
178 The minimum number of generations necessary to give clear and interpretable results in most
179 cases is considered to be two. In some cases, an extended one-generation test protocol as
180 described in OECD Test Guideline 443 may also be acceptable.⁴ A decision on whether to assess
181 the second (F2) generation should reflect existing knowledge of the chemical being evaluated.
182 Criteria for internal triggers for extending the study to the second generation are described in OECD
183 Guidance Documents 117 and 151.^{5, 6}

184
185 It is therefore recommended that a study of two generations be conducted as default.

186 **2.3. Number of litters per generation**

187 A study with one litter per dam and per generation is sufficient if the results clearly show either
188 absence of any effects or presence of adverse effects with well-defined no-observed-adverse-effect
189 levels (NOAELs). Under certain circumstances, however, it may be appropriate to extend the study
190 to produce second litters. The value of second litters is that they may help to clarify the significance
191 of any apparently dose-related or equivocal effects in first litters, which may be either the result of
192 treatment, due to chance, or due to poor reproductive performance unrelated to treatment. Poor
193 reproductive performance in controls can be minimised by avoidance of nutritional problems and
194 other disturbances, ensuring the weight variation of the parental (P) generation animals is not too
195 large, and by not mating animals when they are too young or too old.

196
197 It is therefore recommended that, in general, a study with one litter per dam and per generation be
198 conducted. It may be necessary, under certain circumstances mentioned above, to extend the
199 study by producing second litters and it is recommended that results from the study be closely
200 monitored to enable such a decision to be taken, if necessary.

201 **2.4. Recommended study protocol**

202 The OECD Test Guideline 416, "Two-Generation Reproduction Toxicity Study",³ is an appropriate
203 reference method for a multigeneration study to establish the safety of reproduction of veterinary
204 drug residues in human food. This guideline includes discussion of the selection of test animals,
205 selection of doses, timing of commencement of treatment, timing of mating, observations,
206 evaluation, and reporting of results, all of which are relevant for the testing of veterinary drugs for
207 the safety evaluation of residues in human food.

208
209 If an extended one-generation study is planned, the OECD Test Guideline 443, "Extended One-
210 Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study",⁴ is an appropriate reference method. In addition to
211 evaluating the reproduction safety, the EOGRTS protocol allows additional investigation on the
212 developing nervous and immune systems. However, VICH considers the males of the parental (P)
213 generation in the pre-mating period should be dosed to cover at least one complete spermatogenic
214 cycle, e.g., a minimum of 10 weeks in the pre-mating period rather than the two weeks for rats, as
215 described in the EOGRTS protocol.⁴ It is important to leverage existing data and knowledge and
216 use a weight-of-evidence approach to help determine whether an EOGRTS is appropriate.

217
218 If a benchmark dose approach is intended as an alternative to the NOAEL approach, the study
219 design, such as dose selection, number of dose groups and number of animals per group, should
220 be considered accordingly.

221
222

223 **3. REFERENCES**

224

225 1. ICH. 2020. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline S5(R3). Detection of reproductive and
226 developmental toxicity for human pharmaceuticals. International Conference on Harmonisation
227 of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use.

228

229 2. ECHA. 2023. Evaluating results from 55 extended one-generation reproductive toxicity studies
230 under REACH: final report of the EOGRTS review project. ECHA-23-R-04-EN. European
231 Chemicals Agency.

232

233 3. OECD. 2001. Test Guideline 416. Two-generation reproduction toxicity study. Organisation for
234 Economic Cooperation & Development.

235

236 4. OECD. 2018. Test Guideline 443. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study.
237 Organisation for Economic Cooperation & Development.

238

239 5. OECD. 2011. OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications, Series on Testing and
240 Assessment, No. 117. Guidance document 117 on the current implementation of internal
241 triggers in Test Guideline 443 for an extended one generation reproductive toxicity study, in the
242 United States and Canada. Organisation for Economic Cooperation & Development.

243

244 6. OECD. 2013. OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications, Series on Testing and
245 Assessment, No. 151. Guidance document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended
246 one-generation reproductive toxicity test. Organisation for Economic Cooperation &
247 Development.