

1 12 December 2012  
2 EMA/228028/2012

3 **Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP)**  
4 **Module IV – Pharmacovigilance audits**

|  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| Draft finalised by the Agency in collaboration with Member States and submitted to ERMS FG | 12 July 2012      |
| Draft agreed by ERMS FG  | 20 July 2012      |
| Draft adopted by Executive Director  | 25 July 2012      |
| Start of public consultation   | 26 July 2012      |
| End of consultation (deadline for comments)  | 21 September 2012 |
| Revised draft finalised by the Agency in collaboration with Member States                  | 5 December 2012   |
| Revised draft agreed by ERMS FG  | 6 December 2012   |
| Revised draft adopted by Executive Director as final*                                      | 12 December 2012  |
| Date for coming into effect*   | 13 December 2012  |

5  
6

7 **This track-change version identifies the majority of changes introduced to the public consultation**  
8 **version of this document as the Agency's response to the comments received from the public**  
9 **consultation. This track-change version is published for transparency purposes and must not be taken**  
10 **or quoted as the final version.**  
11 **\* For this reason, the timetable above, and in particular the date of coming into effect, apply only the**  
12 **clean version published as final.**  
13 **For the final version of this module and any future updates, please see the GVP webpage of the**  
14 **Agency's website.**

See websites for contact details

**European Medicines Agency** [www.ema.europa.eu](http://www.ema.europa.eu)  
**Heads of Medicines Agencies** [www.hma.eu](http://www.hma.eu)

The European Medicines Agency is  
an agency of the European Union



|    |   |           |
|----|---|-----------|
| 15 | <b>Table of contents</b>  |           |
| 16 | <b>IV.A. Introduction .....</b>   | <b>3</b>  |
| 17 | IV.A.1 Terminology .....  | 4         |
| 18 | <b>IV.B. Structures and processes.....</b>  | <b>5</b>  |
| 19 | IV.B.1. Pharmacovigilance audit and its objective .....                                   | 5         |
| 20 | IV.B.2. The risk-based approach to pharmacovigilance audits.....                          | 5         |
| 21 | IV.B.2.1.Strategic level audit planning.....  | 5         |
| 22 | IV.B.2.2. Tactical level audit planning.....  | 7         |
| 23 | IV.B.2.3. Operational level audit planning and reporting .....                            | 7         |
| 24 | IV.B.2.3.1. Planning and fieldwork .....  | 7         |
| 25 | IV.B.2.3.2. Reporting .....   | 8         |
| 26 | IV.B.2.4. Actions based on audit outcomes and follow-up of audits .....                   | 9         |
| 27 | IV.B.3. Quality system and record management practices .....                              | 10        |
| 28 | IV.B.3.1. Competence of auditors and quality management of audit activities.....          | 10        |
| 29 | IV.B.3.1.1. Independence and objectivity of audit work and auditors.....                  | 10        |
| 30 | IV.B.3.1.2. Qualifications, skills and experience of auditors and continuing professional |           |
| 31 | development .....   | 10        |
| 32 | IV.B.3.1.3. Evaluation of the quality of audit activities.....                            | 10        |
| 33 | IV.B.3.2. Audits undertaken by outsourced audit service providers.....                    | 10        |
| 34 | IV.B.3.2. Retention of audit reports.....   | 11        |
| 35 | <b>IV.C. Pharmacovigilance audit policy framework and organisational</b>                  |           |
| 36 | <b>structure .....</b>  | <b>11</b> |
| 37 | IV.C.1. Marketing authorisation holders in the EU .....                                   | 11        |
| 38 | IV.C.1.1. Requirement to perform an audit .....   | 11        |
| 39 | IV.C.1.1.1. The qualified person responsible for pharmacovigilance in the EU (QPPV) ..... | 11        |
| 40 | IV.C.1.2. Competent authorities in Member States and the European Medicines Agency ....   | 11        |
| 41 | IV.C.1.2.1. Requirement to perform an audit.....  | 11        |
| 42 | IV.C.1.2.2. Common methodology .....  | 12        |
| 43 | IV.C.1.2.3. The Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) .....                  | 12        |
| 44 | IV.C.2. Requirements for audit reporting in the EU.....                                   | 12        |
| 45 | IV.C.2.1. Reporting by the marketing authorisation holder.....                            | 12        |
| 46 | IV.C.2.2. Reporting by competent authorities in Member States and the Agency .....        | 12        |
| 47 | IV.C.3. Confidentiality .....   | 12        |
| 48 | IV.C.4. Transparency.....   | 13        |
| 49 |   |           |

## 50 IV.A. Introduction

51 The entry into force of the new legislation on pharmacovigilance in July 2012, ~~legalestablished legal~~  
52 ~~requirements~~ for competent authorities in the Member States and the European Medicines agency (the  
53 Agency) ~~and marketingand marketing~~ authorisation holders ~~toholders to~~ perform audits of their  
54 pharmacovigilance systems [DIR Art 101(2), Art 104(2), REG Art 28f], including risk based audits of  
55 their quality systems [IR Art 13 (1), Art 17 (1).]

56 For the purposes of this module reference to pharmacovigilance audit(s) and pharmacovigilance audit  
57 activity(ies) are deemed to include pharmacovigilance system audits and audit(s) of the quality system  
58 for pharmacovigilance activities.

59 The minimum requirements of the pharmacovigilance systems and the quality system are set out in  
60 the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 520/2012 ~~(IR) of 19 June 2012~~ on the performance  
61 of pharmacovigilance activities provided for in Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 ~~of the European~~  
62 ~~Parliament and of the Council~~ and Directive 2001/83/EC. Risk-based audits of the pharmacovigilance  
63 system ~~should cover~~ all areas listed in ~~Title IX~~ Directive 2001/83/EC (DIR) and Regulation (EC)  
64 726/2004 (REG). The specificities of the risk-based audits of the quality system [for pharmacovigilance  
65 activities] are as described in the Implementing Measures [IR Art 8,10, 11,12,13(1) for marketing  
66 authorisation holders, and IR Art 8,14,15,16,17(1) for national competent authorities and the Agency.]

67 The overall description and objectives of pharmacovigilance systems and quality systems for  
68 pharmacovigilance activities are referred to in **Module I**, while the specific pharmacovigilance processes  
69 are described in each respective Module of GVP.

70 In this Module, all applicable legal requirements are referenced in the way explained in the **GVP**  
71 **Introductory Cover Note** and are usually identifiable by the modal verb "shall". Guidance for the  
72 implementation of legal requirements is provided using the modal verb "should".

73 This Module provides guidance on planning and conducting the legally required audits, and in respect  
74 of the operation of the EU regulatory network, the role, context and management of pharmacovigilance  
75 audit activity. This Module is intended to facilitate the performance of pharmacovigilance audits,  
76 especially to promote harmonisation, and encourage consistency and simplification of the audit  
77 process. The principles in this Module are aligned with internationally accepted auditing standards\*,  
78 issued by relevant international auditing standardisation organisations\*<sup>1</sup> and support a risk-based  
79 approach ~~to~~ pharmacovigilance audits.

80 Section **IV.B.** outlines the general structures and processes that should be followed to identify the most  
81 appropriate pharmacovigilance audit engagements and describes the steps which can be undertaken  
82 by marketing authorisation holders, competent authorities in Member States and the European  
83 Medicines Agency, to plan, conduct and report upon an individual pharmacovigilance audit  
84 engagements. This Section also provides an outline of the general quality system and record  
85 management practices for pharmacovigilance audit processes.

86 Section **IV.C.** provides ~~an~~ outline of the operation of the EU network in respect of pharmacovigilance  
87 audits.

88

<sup>1</sup> More details regarding **The Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA)** [www.theiia.org](http://www.theiia.org); the **International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO)** [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org); **Information Systems Audit and Control Association (ISACA)** [www.isaca.org](http://www.isaca.org); **The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB)** [www.ifac.org](http://www.ifac.org); **The International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI)** [www.issai.org](http://www.issai.org).

Formatted: Underline

Formatted: Underline

## **IV.A.1 Terminology**

Audit, Audit findings, Audit plan, Audit programme, Audit recommendations.

Upper management see in Annex I.

Auditee: [entity] being audited (ISO 19011 (3.7)<sup>2</sup>).

Compliance: Conformity and adherence to policies, plans, procedures, laws, regulations, contracts, or other requirements (IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing<sup>2</sup>).

Control(s): Any action taken by management, ... and other parties to manage risk and increase the likelihood that established objectives and goals will be achieved. Management plans, organises, and directs the performance of sufficient actions to provide reasonable assurance that objectives and goals will be achieved (IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing<sup>3</sup>).

Evaluation (of audit activities): Professional auditing bodies promote compliance with standards, including in quality assurance of their own activities, and codes of conduct, which can be used to address adequate fulfilment of the organisation's basic expectations of Internal Audit activity and its conformity to internationally accepted auditing standards.

Finding(s): see Audit findings

Head of the organisation: see Upper management

Auditors independence: The freedom from conditions that threaten objectivity or the appearance of objectivity. Such threats to objectivity must be managed at the individual auditor, engagement, functional and organisational levels. (IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing)

Internal Control: Internal control is an integral process that is effected by an entity's management and personnel and is designed to address risk and provide reasonable assurance that in pursuit of the entity's mission, the following general objectives are being achieved: executing orderly, ethical, economical, efficient and effective operations, fulfilling accountability obligations, complying with applicable laws and regulations and safeguarding resources against loss, misuse and damage (for further information refer to COSO standards).

International Auditing Standards: **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.** issued by International Auditing Standardisation Organisations\*.

International Auditing Standardisation Organisations: More details regarding **The Institute of Internal Auditors** (IIA) standards can be found at <http://www.theiia.org/guidance/standards-and-guidance/ippf/standards/full-standards>; the **International Organisation for Standardisation** (ISO) standard 19011 "Guidelines for quality and/or environmental management systems auditing. <http://www.iso.org/iso/home.html>; **Information Systems Audit and Control Association** (ISACA) standards can be found at <http://www.isaca.org/Standards> ; **The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board** (IAASB) standards can be found at <http://www.ifac.org/auditing-assurance/clarity-center/clarified-standards>; The International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI) can be found at <http://www.issai.org/composite-347.htm>

Auditors objectivity: An unbiased mental attitude that allows internal auditors to perform engagements in such a manner that they have an honest belief in their work product and that no significant quality compromises are made. Objectivity requires internal auditors not to subordinate their judgment on

<sup>2</sup> **The Institute of Internal Auditors** (IIA) [www.theiia.org](http://www.theiia.org)

Formatted: Heading 2

Field Code Changed

129 [audit matters to that of others. \(IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal](#)  
130 [Auditing\)](#)<sup>5</sup>

131

Formatted: Font: Bold

## 132 **IV.B. Structures and processes**

### 133 **IV.B.1. Pharmacovigilance audit and its objective**

134 Pharmacovigilance audit activities should verify, by examination and evaluation of objective evidence,  
135 the appropriateness and effectiveness of the implementation and operation of a pharmacovigilance  
136 system, including its quality system for pharmacovigilance activities.

137 In general, an audit is a systematic, disciplined, independent and documented process for obtaining  
138 evidence and evaluating [the evidence](#) objectively to determine the extent to which the [audit](#) criteria  
139 are fulfilled, contributing to the improvement of risk management, control and governance processes.  
140 Audit evidence consists of records, statements or other information, which are relevant to the audit  
141 criteria and verifiable. Audit criteria are, for each audit objective, the standards of performance and  
142 control against which the auditee and its activities will be assessed. In the context of  
143 pharmacovigilance, audit criteria should reflect the requirements for the pharmacovigilance system,  
144 including its quality system for pharmacovigilance activities, as found in the legislation and guidance.

### 145 **IV.B.2. The risk-based approach to pharmacovigilance audits**

146 A risk-based approach is one that uses techniques to determine the areas of risk, where risk is defined  
147 as the probability of an event occurring that will have an impact on the achievement of objectives,  
148 taking account of the severity of its outcome and/or likelihood of non-detection by other methods. The  
149 risk-based approach to audits focuses on the areas of highest risk to the organisation's  
150 pharmacovigilance system, including its quality system for pharmacovigilance activities. In the context  
151 of pharmacovigilance, the risk to public health is of prime importance. Risk can be assessed at the  
152 following stages:

- 153 • strategic level audit planning resulting in an audit strategy (long term approach), which should be  
154 endorsed by upper management;
- 155 • tactical level audit planning resulting in an audit programme, setting audit objectives, and the  
156 extent and boundaries, often termed as scope, of the audits in that programme; and
- 157 • operational level audit planning resulting in an audit plan for individual audit engagements,  
158 prioritising audit tasks based on risk and utilising risk-based sampling and testing approaches, and  
159 reporting of audit findings in line with their [relative risk level](#) and audit recommendations in line  
160 with the suggested grading system [see [IV.B.2.3.1.](#)]

161 Risk assessment should be documented appropriately for the strategic, tactical and operational  
162 planning of pharmacovigilance audit activity in the organisation (see [IV.B.2.1.](#), [IV.B.2.2.](#) and [IV.B.2.3.](#)  
163 respectively).

#### 164 **IV.B.2.1. Strategic level audit planning**

165 The audit strategy is a high level statement of how the audit activities will be delivered over a period of  
166 time, longer than the annual programme, usually for a period of 2-5 years. The audit strategy includes  
167 a list of audits that could reasonably be performed. The audit strategy is used to outline the areas

168 highlighted for audit, the audit topics as well as the methods and assumptions (including e.g. risk  
169 assessment) on which the audit programme is based.

170 The audit strategy should cover the governance, risk management and internal controls of all parts of  
171 the pharmacovigilance system including:

- 172 • all pharmacovigilance processes and tasks;
- 173 • the quality system for pharmacovigilance activities;
- 174 • interactions and interfaces with other departments, as appropriate;
- 175 • pharmacovigilance activities conducted by affiliated organisations or activities delegated to another  
176 organisation (e.g. regional reporting centres, MAH affiliates or third parties, such as contract  
177 organisations and other vendors).

178 This is a non-prioritised, non-exhaustive list of examples of risk factors that could be considered for the  
179 purposes of a risk assessment:-

- 180 • changes to legislation and guidance;
- 181 • major re-organisation or other re-structuring of the pharmacovigilance system, [mergers](#),  
182 [acquisitions](#) (specifically for marketing authorisation holders, this may lead to a significant increase  
183 in the number of products for which the system is used);
- 184 • change in key managerial function(s);
- 185 • risk to availability of adequately trained and experienced pharmacovigilance staff, e.g. due to  
186 significant turn-over of staff, deficiencies in training processes, ~~recent~~ re-organisation, ~~recent~~  
187 increase in volumes of work;
- 188 • significant changes to the system since the time of a previous audit, e.g. introduction of a new  
189 database(s) for pharmacovigilance activities or of a significant upgrade to the existing database(s),  
190 changes to processes and activities in order to address new or amended regulatory requirements;
- 191 • first medicinal product on the market (for a marketing authorisation holder);
- 192 • medicinal product(s) on the market with specific risk minimisation measures or other specific  
193 safety conditions such as requirements for additional monitoring;
- 194 • criticality of the process, e.g.:
  - 195 – for competent authorities: how critical is the area/process to proper functioning of the  
196 pharmacovigilance system and the overall objective of safeguarding public health;
  - 197 – for marketing authorisation holders: how critical is the area/process to proper functioning of  
198 the pharmacovigilance system. When deciding when to audit an affiliate or third party, the  
199 marketing authorisation holder should consider the nature and criticality of the  
200 pharmacovigilance activities that are being performed by [an](#) affiliate or third party on behalf of  
201 the marketing authorisation holder, in addition to considering the other factors included in this  
202 list;
- 203 • outcome of previous audits, e.g. has the area/process ever been audited (if not, then this may  
204 need to be prioritised depending on criticality); if the area/process has previously been audited,  
205 the audit findings\* are a factor to consider when deciding when to re-audit the area/process,  
206 including the implementation of agreed actions;
- 207 • [un](#)identified procedural gaps relating to specific areas/processes;

- 208 • other information relating to compliance\* with legislation and guidance, for example:
- 209 – for competent authorities: information from compliance\* metrics (as described in the
- 210 Commission Implementing Regulation on the Performance of Pharmacovigilance Activities
- 211 Provided for in Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 and Directive 2001/83/EC), from complaints,
- 212 from external sources, e.g. audits/assessments of the competent authority conducted by
- 213 external bodies;
- 214 – for marketing authorisation holders: information from compliance\* metrics (as described in the
- 215 Commission Implementing Regulation on the Performance of Pharmacovigilance Activities
- 216 Provided for in Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 and Directive 2001/83/EC), from inspections see
- 217 **Module III**, from complaints, from other external sources, e.g. audits;
- 218 • other organisational changes that could negatively impact on the area/process, e.g. if a change
- 219 occurs to a support function (such as information technology support) this could negatively impact
- 220 upon pharmacovigilance activities.

## 221 **IV.B.2.2. Tactical level audit planning**

222

223 An audit programme is a set of one or more audits planned for a specific timeframe, normally for a

224 year. ~~and It should be prepared in line with the long term audit strategy.~~ The audit programme

225 should be approved by [upper management with overall responsibility for operational and governance](#)

226 ~~structure~~[the head of the organisation](#).

227 The risk-based audit programme should be based on an appropriate risk assessment and should focus

228 on:

- 229 • the quality system for pharmacovigilance activities;
- 230 • critical pharmacovigilance processes (see for example **Module I** and IR Art 11, 15);
- 231 • key control systems relied on for pharmacovigilance activities;
- 232 • areas identified as high risk, after controls have been put in place or mitigating action taken.

233 The risk-based audit programme should also take into account historical areas with insufficient past

234 audit coverage, and high risk areas identified by and/or specific requests from management and/or

235 persons responsible for pharmacovigilance activities.

236 The audit programme documentation should include a brief description of the plan for each audit to be

237 delivered, including an outline of scope and objectives.

238 The rationale for the timing, periodicity and scope of the individual audits which form part of the audit

239 programme should be based on the documented risk assessment. However, risk-based

240 pharmacovigilance audit(s) should be performed at regular intervals, which are in line with legislative

241 requirements.

242 Changes to the audit programme may happen and will require proper documentation.

## 243 **IV.B.2.3. Operational level audit planning and reporting**

### 244 **IV.B.2.3.1. Planning and fieldwork**

245 The organisation should ensure that written procedures are in place regarding the planning and

246 conduct of individual audits that will be delivered. Timeframes for all the steps required for the

247 performance of an individual audit should be settled in the relevant audit related procedures, and the  
248 organisation should ensure that audits are conducted in accordance with the written procedures, in line  
249 with this GVP Module. ~~erelevant internationally accepted auditing standards\*.~~

250 Individual pharmacovigilance audits should be undertaken in line with the approved risk-based audit  
251 programme (see IV.B.2.2.). When planning individual audits, the auditor identifies and assesses the  
252 risks relevant to the area under review and employs the most appropriate risk-based sampling and  
253 testing methods, documenting the audit approach in an audit plan\*.

254 Fieldwork often comprises the following:

- 255 — Opening meeting
- 256 — Review of documents
- 257 — Observation of processes and controls
- 258 — Testing of samples
- 259 — Interviews with auditee\* management and staff.
- 260 — Closing meeting

261

#### 262 **IV.B.2.3.2. Reporting**

263 The findings\* ~~and audit recommendations\*~~ of the auditors should be documented in an audit report  
264 and should be communicated to management in a timely manner. The audit process should include  
265 mechanisms for communicating the audit findings\* to the auditee\* and receiving feedback, and  
266 reporting the audit findings\* ~~and audit recommendations~~ to management and relevant parties,  
267 including those responsible for pharmacovigilance systems, in accordance with legal requirements and  
268 guidance on pharmacovigilance audits. Audit findings ~~and audit recommendations\*~~ should be reported  
269 in line with their relative risk level and should be graded in order to indicate their relative criticality to  
270 risks impacting the pharmacovigilance system, processes and parts of processes. The grading system  
271 should be defined in the description of the quality system for pharmacovigilance, and should take into  
272 consideration the thresholds noted below which would be used in further reporting under the legislation  
273 as set out in section IV.C.2:

274 ● **critical** is a fundamental weakness in one or more pharmacovigilance processes or practices that  
275 adversely affects the whole pharmacovigilance system and/or the rights, safety or well-being of  
276 patients, or that poses a potential risk to public health and/or represents a serious violation of  
277 applicable regulatory requirements, legislation and guidelines. ~~The audit recommendation aims at~~  
278 ~~introducing mitigating action that addresses the risk of the critical audit finding so that it is not~~  
279 ~~detrimental at the level assessed anymore; Immediate action is required;~~

280 ● **major** is a significant weakness in one or more pharmacovigilance processes or practices, or a  
281 fundamental weakness in part of one or more pharmacovigilance processes or practices that is  
282 detrimental to the whole process and/or could potentially adversely affect the rights, safety or  
283 well-being of patients and/or could potentially pose a risk to public health and/or represents a  
284 violation of applicable regulatory requirements, legislation and guidelines which is however not  
285 considered serious. ~~The audit recommendation aims at introducing mitigating action that~~  
286 ~~addresses the risk of the major audit finding so that it is not detrimental at the level assessed~~  
287 ~~anymore; prompt action is required;~~

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 +  
Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27  
cm

Formatted: Outline numbered +  
Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet +  
Aligned at: 0 cm + Tab after: 0.63  
cm + Indent at: 0.63 cm



- 288 • **minor** is a weakness in the part of one or more pharmacovigilance processes or practices that is  
289 not expected to adversely affect the whole pharmacovigilance system or process and/or the rights,  
290 safety or well-being of patients. ~~The audit recommendation aims at introducing mitigating action~~  
291 ~~that addresses the risk of the minor audit finding so that it is not detrimental at the level assessed~~  
292 ~~anymore; action within a reasonable timeframe is required.~~

293 Issues that need to be urgently addressed should be communicated in an expedited manner to the  
294 auditee\*’s management and the upper management.

295 ~~Actions referenced in this section of the guideline, i.e., immediate action,~~  
296 ~~prompt action, action within a reasonable timeframe, issues that need to be~~  
297 ~~urgently addressed, or communicated in an expedited manner, are~~  
298 ~~intended to convey timelines that are appropriate, relevant, and in line with~~  
299 ~~the relative risk to the pharmacovigilance system. The acknowledgement~~  
300 ~~and response to a critical finding, in general, should be faster than to a~~  
301 ~~minor finding, even though complete mitigation or resolution of the finding~~  
302 ~~may not be completed in the same timeframe. The precise timeframe for~~  
303 ~~action(s) related to a given critical finding, for example, may differ~~  
304 ~~depending on the planned action(s)."~~

#### 305 **IV.B.2.4. Actions based on audit ~~outcomes~~ ~~recommendations\*~~ and follow-** 306 **up of audits**

307 ~~Actions referenced in this section of the guideline, i.e., immediate action, prompt action, action within~~  
308 ~~a reasonable timeframe, issues that need to be urgently addressed, or communicated in an expedited~~  
309 ~~manner, are intended to convey timelines that are appropriate, relevant, and in line with the relative~~  
310 ~~risk to the pharmacovigilance system. Corrective and preventive actions to address critical and major~~  
311 ~~issues should be prioritised. The precise timeframe for action(s) related to a given critical finding, for~~  
312 ~~example, may differ depending on nature of findings and the planned action(s).~~

313 The management of the organisation is responsible for ensuring that the organisation has a mechanism  
314 in place to adequately address the ~~issues~~ ~~audit recommendations\*~~ arising from pharmacovigilance  
315 audits, ~~including the preparation of an action plan. Actions should include root cause analysis and~~  
316 ~~impact analysis of identified audit findings and preparation of a corrective and preventive action plan,~~  
317 ~~where appropriate.~~

318 Upper management and those charged with governance, should ensure that effective action is  
319 implemented to address the audit findings ~~and audit recommendations\* arising from~~  
320 ~~pharmacovigilance audits~~. The implementation of agreed actions should be monitored in a systematic  
321 way, and the progress of implementation should be communicated on a periodic basis ~~proportionate to~~  
322 ~~the planned actions~~ to ~~senior~~ ~~upper~~ management.

323 Evidence of completion of actions should be recorded in order to document that issues raised during  
324 the audit have been addressed.

325 Capacity for follow-up audits should be foreseen in the audit programme. They should be carried out as  
326 deemed necessary, in order to verify the completion of agreed actions. [IR Art 13(2), Art 17(2)]

Formatted: Heading 3

Formatted: English (U.K.)

327 **IV.B.3. Quality system and record management practices**

328 **IV.B.3.1. Competence of auditors and quality management of audit**  
329 **activities**

330 **IV.B.3.1.1. Independence and objectivity of audit work and auditors**

331 The organisation should assign the specific responsibilities for the pharmacovigilance audit activities.  
332 Pharmacovigilance audit activities should be independent. ~~In order to be independent, audits should~~  
333 ~~be conducted by those who have no actual or potential conflicts of interest and who are not~~  
334 ~~operationally involved in the activities to be audited. [IR Art 13(1)]~~ The organisation's management  
335 should ensure this independence and objectivity in a structured manner and document this.

336 Auditors should be free from interference in determining the scope of auditing, performing  
337 pharmacovigilance audits and communicating audit results. The main reporting line should be to the  
338 ~~upper management with overall responsibility for operational and governance~~ ~~head of the organisation~~  
339 ~~that structure that~~ allows the auditor(s) to fulfil their responsibilities ~~and to provide independent,~~  
340 ~~objective audit opinion-~~ Auditors can consult with technical experts, personnel involved in  
341 pharmacovigilance processes, and with the person responsible for pharmacovigilance; however  
342 auditors should maintain an unbiased attitude that allows them to perform audit work in such a  
343 manner that they have an honest belief in their work product and that no significant quality  
344 compromises are made. Objectivity requires auditors not to subordinate their judgement on audit  
345 matters to that of others.

346 **IV.B.3.1.2. Qualifications, skills and experience of auditors and continuing professional**  
347 **development**

348 Auditors should demonstrate and maintain proficiency in terms of the knowledge, skills and abilities  
349 required to effectively conduct and/or participate in pharmacovigilance audit activities. The proficiency  
350 of audit team members will have been gained through a combination of education, work experience  
351 and training and, as a team, should cover knowledge, skills and abilities in:

- 352 • audit ~~standards\*~~ principles, procedures and techniques;
- 353 • applicable laws, regulations and other requirements relevant to pharmacovigilance;
- 354 • pharmacovigilance activities, processes and system(s);
- 355 • management system(s);
- 356 • organisational system(s).

357 **IV.B.3.1.3. Evaluation of the quality of audit activities**

358 Evaluation of audit work can be undertaken by means of ongoing and periodic assessment of all audit  
359 activities, auditee\* feedback and self-assessment of audit activities ~~(e.g. quality assurance of audit~~  
360 ~~activities, compliance to code of conduct, audit programme, and audit procedures).~~

361

362 **IV.B.3.2. Audits undertaken by outsourced audit service providers**

363 Ultimate responsibility for the operation and effectiveness of the pharmacovigilance system resides  
364 within the organisation (i.e. within the Agency, competent authority or marketing authorisation  
365 holder). Where the organisation decides to use an outsourced audit service provider to implement the

366 pharmacovigilance audit requirements on the basis of this GVP module and perform pharmacovigilance  
367 audits:

- 368 • the requirements and preparation of the audit risk assessment, the audit strategy and audit  
369 programme and individual engagements should be specified to the outsourced service providers,  
370 by the organisation, in writing;
- 371 • the scope, objectives and procedural requirements for the audit should be specified to the  
372 outsourced service provider, by the organisation, in writing;
- 373 • the organisation should obtain and document assurance of the independence and objectivity of  
374 outsourced service providers;
- 375 • the outsourced audit service provider should also follow the relevant parts of this GVP module.

#### 376 **IV.B.3.2. Retention of audit reports**

377 Retention of the audit report and evidence of completion of action needs to be in line with the  
378 requirements stipulated in Module I section I.B.10.IV.C. Operation of the EU network.

Formatted: Normal

### 379 **IV.C. Pharmacovigilance audit policy framework and** 380 **organisational structure**

#### 381 **IV.C.1. Marketing authorisation holders in the EU**

##### 382 ***IV.C.1.1. Requirement to perform an audit***

383 The marketing authorisation holder in the EU is required to perform regular risk-based audit(s) of their  
384 pharmacovigilance system [DIR Art 104(2)], including audit(s) of its quality system to ensure that the  
385 quality system complies with the quality system requirements [IR Art 8,10,11,12,13(1)]. The dates  
386 and results of audits and follow-up audits shall be documented [IR Art 13(2)]

387 See **IV.C.2.** for further details of the requirements for audit reporting by the marketing authorisation  
388 holder.

##### 389 ***IV.C.1.1.1. The qualified person responsible for pharmacovigilance in the EU (QPPV)***

390 The responsibilities of the QPPV in respect of audit are provided in **Module I**. Furthermore, the QPPV  
391 should receive pharmacovigilance audit reports, and provide information to the auditors relevant to the  
392 risk assessment, including knowledge of status of corrective and preventive actions.

393 The QPPV should be notified of any audit findings relevant to the pharmacovigilance system in the EU,  
394 irrespective of where the audit was conducted.

#### 395 **IV.C.1.2. Competent authorities in Member States and the European** 396 **Medicines Agency**

##### 397 ***IV.C.1.2.1. Requirement to perform an audit***

398 The Agency shall perform regular independent audits of its pharmacovigilance tasks [REG Art 28f] and  
399 competent authorities in Member States shall perform a regular audit of their pharmacovigilance  
400 system [DIR Art 101(2)]. Included in their obligation to perform audits of their pharmacovigilance  
401 system/tasks, competent authorities in the Member States and the Agency shall perform risk-based  
402 audits of the quality system as well, at regular intervals according to a common methodology to ensure

403 that the quality system complies with the requirements [IR Art 8,14,15,16,17(1)]. The dates and  
404 results of audits and follow-up audits shall be documented [IR Art 17(2)].

#### 405 **IV.C.1.2.2. Common methodology**

406 In order to have a useful audit system, all audits at the competent authorities in the Member States  
407 and the European Medicines Agency should have a common ground in terms of methodology. This  
408 should ensure harmonised planning, implementation and reporting by every competent authority in  
409 Member States and at the Agency.

#### 410 **IV.C.1.2.3. The Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC)**

411 The mandate of the Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) shall cover all aspects of  
412 the risk management of the use of medicinal products for human use, having due regard to the design  
413 and evaluation of pharmacovigilance audits [REG Art 61a(6)].

#### 414 **IV.C.2. Requirements for audit reporting in the EU**

##### 415 **IV.C.2.1. Reporting by the marketing authorisation holder**

416 The marketing authorisation holder shall place a note concerning ~~the main audit findings\* and audit~~  
417 ~~recommendations, including~~ critical and major audit findings/~~audit recommendations~~ of any audit  
418 relating to the pharmacovigilance system in the pharmacovigilance system master file (PSMF) (~~-see~~  
419 ~~Module II~~). Based on the audit findings\*~~and audit recommendations~~, the marketing authorisation  
420 holder shall ensure that an appropriate plan detailing corrective and preventative action is prepared  
421 and implemented. Once the corrective and preventative actions have been fully implemented, the note  
422 may be removed [DIR Art 104(2), IR Art 13(2)]. Objective evidence is required in order that any note  
423 of audit findings can be removed from the pharmacovigilance system master file\_(see ~~Module II~~).

424 The marketing authorisation holders should ensure that ~~a list of all scheduled and completed audits is~~  
425 ~~kept in the annex to the pharmacovigilance system master file ( IR Art 3(5)) -and that~~ they comply  
426 with reporting commitments in line with the legislation, GVP guidance and their internal reporting  
427 policies. The dates and results of audits and follow-up audits shall be documented [IR Art 13(2)].

##### 428 **IV.C.2.2. Reporting by competent authorities in Member States and the** 429 **Agency**

430 Competent authorities in Member States, and the Agency should ensure that they comply with  
431 reporting commitments in line with the legislation, GVP guidance and their internal reporting policies.

432 Competent authorities in Member States shall report the results [of their pharmacovigilance system  
433 audits] to the Commission on 21 September 2013 at the latest and then every 2 years thereafter [DIR  
434 Art 101(2)].

435 The Agency shall report the results [of its pharmacovigilance system audits] to its Management Board  
436 on a 2-yearly basis [REG Art 28f].

437 The reports to the European Commission will follow an agreed format.

#### 438 **IV.C.3. Confidentiality**

439 Documents and information collected by the internal auditor ~~should will~~ be treated with appropriate  
440 confidentiality and discretion, and also respect Directive 95/46/EC [Regulation (EC) No. 45/2001 for

441 Community institutions and bodies] and national legislation on the protection of individuals with regard  
442 to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data.

443 ***IV.C.4. Transparency***

444 The European Commission shall make public a report on the performance of pharmacovigilance tasks  
445 by the Agency on 2 January 2014 at the latest and subsequently every 3 years thereafter [REG Art 29]  
446 and on the performance of pharmacovigilance tasks by the competent authorities in Member States on  
447 21 July 2015 at the latest and then every 3 years thereafter [DIR Art 108(b)].

448

449

## ~~GLOSSARY OF TERMS~~

450

~~**Audit:** a systematic, disciplined, independent and documented process for obtaining audit evidence and evaluating it objectively to determine the extent to which the audit criteria are fulfilled (ISO 19011 (3.1)<sup>2</sup>).~~

Formatted: No-num heading 1 (Agency), Left

451

452

453

454

~~**[Audit] Evidence:** Audit finding(s): results of the evaluation of the collected audit evidence against audit criteria (ISO 19011 (3.4)<sup>4</sup>).~~

455

456

457

~~**Audit plan:** Description of activities and arrangement for an individual audit (ISO 19011 (3.12)<sup>2</sup>).~~

458

459

~~**Audit programme:** set of one or more audits planned for a specific timeframe and directed towards a specific purpose. (ISO 19011 (3.11)<sup>2</sup>).~~

460

461

462

~~**[Audit] recommendation(s):** Describe the course of action management might consider to rectify conditions that have gone awry, and to [mitigate] strengthen weaknesses in systems of [management] control. [Audit] recommendations should be positive and as specific as possible. They should also identify who is to act on them. (Sawyer, L.B., Dittenhofer M.A. (2003), Sawyer's Internal Auditing, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, The IIA Research Foundation, p.358) Furthermore, the criteria for communicating audit results include the audit engagement's objectives, scope, as well as applicable conclusions, recommendations and action plans (IIA Standard 2410 – IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing<sup>5</sup>).~~

Formatted: No-num heading 1 (Agency)

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

~~**Auditee:** [entity] being audited (ISO 19011 (3.7)<sup>2</sup>).~~

Formatted: No-num heading 1 (Agency), Left

476

~~**Compliance:** Conformity and adherence to policies, plans, procedures, laws, regulations, contracts, or other requirements (IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing<sup>5</sup>).~~

477

478

479

480

~~**Control(s):** Any action taken by management, ... and other parties to manage risk and increase the likelihood that~~

481

<sup>4</sup> the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

<sup>5</sup> The Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) [www.theiia.org](http://www.theiia.org)

482 ~~established objectives and goals will be achieved.~~  
483 ~~Management plans, organises, and directs the performance of~~  
484 ~~sufficient actions to provide reasonable assurance that~~  
485 ~~objectives and goals will be achieved (IIA International~~  
486 ~~Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing<sup>6</sup>).~~

487 ~~Evaluation (of audit activities): Professional auditing bodies~~  
488 ~~promote compliance with standards, including in quality~~  
489 ~~assurance of their own activities, and codes of conduct,~~  
490 ~~which can be used to address adequate fulfilment of the~~  
491 ~~organisation's basic expectations of Internal Audit activity~~  
492 ~~and its conformity to internationally accepted auditing~~  
493 ~~standards.Finding(s): see Audit findings~~

494 ~~Head of the organisation: see Upper management~~

495 ~~Auditors independence: The freedom from conditions that~~  
496 ~~threaten objectivity or the appearance of objectivity. Such~~  
497 ~~threats to objectivity must be managed at the individual~~  
498 ~~auditor, engagement, functional and organisational levels.~~  
499 ~~(IIA International Standards for the Professional Practice of~~  
500 ~~Internal Auditing)~~

501 ~~Internal Control: Internal control is an integral process that~~  
502 ~~is effected by an entity's management and personnel and is~~  
503 ~~designed to address risk and provide reasonable assurance~~  
504 ~~that in pursuit of the entity's mission, the following general~~  
505 ~~objectives are being achieved: executing orderly, ethical,~~  
506 ~~economical, efficient and effective operations, fulfilling~~  
507 ~~accountability obligations, complying with applicable laws~~  
508 ~~and regulations and safeguarding resources against loss,~~  
509 ~~misuse and damage (for further information refer to COSO~~  
510 ~~standards).~~

511 ~~International Auditing Standards:~~ ~~Error! Hyperlink reference not~~  
512 ~~valid.~~ ~~Standards issued by International Auditing~~  
513 ~~Standardisation Organisations\*.~~

514 ~~International Auditing Standardisation Organisations: More~~  
515 ~~details regarding~~ ~~The Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA)~~  
516 ~~standards can be found at~~  
517 ~~<http://www.theiia.org/guidance/standards-and->~~

Formatted: No-num heading 1  
(Agency)

Field Code Changed

518 ~~**guidance/ippf/standards/full standards, the** International  
519 ~~Organisation for Standardisation **(ISO) standard 19011**~~  
520 ~~**"Guidelines for quality and/or environmental management**  
521 ~~**systems auditing. <http://www.iso.org/iso/home.html>;**~~  
522 ~~Information Systems Audit and Control Association **(ISACA)**~~  
523 ~~**standards can be found at <http://www.isaca.org/Standards>;**~~  
524 ~~The International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board **(IAASB)**~~  
525 ~~**standards can be found at [http://www.ifac.org/auditing-  
527 assurance/clarity-center/clarified-standards](http://www.ifac.org/auditing-<br/>526 assurance/clarity-center/clarified-standards);** The  
528 ~~International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions  
(INTOSAI) can be found at [http://www.issai.org/composite-  
347.htm](http://www.issai.org/composite-<br/>529 347.htm)~~~~~~~~

530 ~~**Auditors objectivity: An unbiased mental attitude that allows**  
531 ~~**internal auditors to perform engagements in such a manner**  
532 ~~**that they have an honest belief in their work product and that**  
533 ~~**no significant quality compromises are made. Objectivity**  
534 ~~**requires internal auditors not to subordinate their judgment**  
535 ~~**on audit matters to that of others. (IIA International**  
536 ~~**Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing)**~~<sup>5</sup>~~~~~~~~~~~~

537 ~~**Organisation: unless otherwise specified, reference to**  
538 ~~**"organisation" is deemed to refer to Marketing Authorisation**  
539 ~~**Holder or National Competent Authority or EMA. Standards:**  
540 ~~**see International Auditing Standards.**~~~~~~~~

541 ~~**Upper management and head of the organisation: will be**  
542 ~~**determined in line with the governance structure of each**  
543 ~~**organisation. For the purposes of the GVP it is envisaged**  
544 ~~**that the upper management may be the group of the highest**  
545 ~~**executive management, whereas the head of the**  
546 ~~**organisation would be the one person at the top of the**  
547 ~~**organisation, with ultimate responsibility for the ensuring**  
548 ~~**that the organisation complies with relevant legislation.**~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Formatted: No-num heading 1  
(Agency), Left

Formatted: No-num heading 1  
(Agency)